## **State of South Dakota**

## SEVENTY-THIRD SESSION LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY, 1998

706B0548

## HOUSE STATE AFFAIRS COMMITTEE ENGROSSED NO. HB1142 - 2/11/98

This bill has been extensively amended (hoghoused) and may no longer be consistent with the original intention of the sponsors.

Introduced by: Representatives Gabriel, Duxbury, Jorgensen, and Pederson (Gordon) and Senators Morford, Drake, and Johnson (William)

- 1 FOR AN ACT ENTITLED, An Act to revise certain provisions regarding the regulation of
- 2 telecommunication companies and telecommunication services.
- 3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA:
- 4 Section 1. It is the intent of the Legislature to encourage telecommunications companies to
- 5 more efficiently meet the infrastructure deployment goal described in §§ 49-31-60 to 49-31-68,
- 6 inclusive, for a fully integrated SONET backbone of interconnected survivable rings. To that end,
- 7 telecommunications companies may jointly provide facilities and enter into revenue-pooling
- 8 arrangements between and among themselves relating to the provisioning of these facilities. Any
- 9 such arrangement shall be subject to commission review and approval and, to the extent it has
- 10 received such approval, may not be construed as violating any state or local laws governing
- unfair trade practices, antitrust or restraint of trade. Further, it is the intent of the Legislature that
- any such approved arrangement shall be exempt from federal laws governing unfair trade
- practices, antitrust, or restraint of trade. Except with respect to such joint provisioning of
- facilities and revenue pooling arrangements approved by the commission, both state and federal

- 2 - HB 1142

laws governing unfair trade practices, antitrust, and restraint of trade shall apply with full force and effect. The joint provisioning of facilities within an arrangement consistent with the limited purpose described in this section may not be construed as imposing additional common carrier obligations on the participating companies. The provisions of this section may not be construed to permit any telecommunications company to take any action that is contrary to the public interest. Section 2. It is in the public interest and essential that local exchange telecommunication companies over all of South Dakota continue to be viable providers of affordable local exchange services. Local exchange telecommunication companies receive substantial revenue necessary to support the exchange from a minority of their customers. Local exchange telecommunication companies must be allowed to compete to keep their profitable customers in order to maintain the viability of local exchanges. Notwithstanding any other provisions of chapter 49-31, except section 4 of this Act, any telecommunication company may grant any discounts, incentives, services, or other business practices necessary to meet competition. Nothing in chapter 49-31 restricts or prevents telecommunication companies from offering reduced prices and special terms and conditions for this state, its existing instrumentalities and subdivisions, for the United States and for K through 12 schools accredited by the secretary of the Department of Education and Cultural Affairs. Section 3. That chapter 49-31 be amended by adding thereto a NEW SECTION to read as follows: Any regulation of telecommunications service by the commission pursuant to chapters 49-13 and 49-31 shall be fair, reasonable, nondiscriminatory and applicable to all telecommunications carriers providing service in the state by rules adopted by the commission pursuant to chapter 1-26.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Section 4. That chapter 49-31 be amended by adding thereto a NEW SECTION to read as

- 3 - HB 1142

- 1 follows:
- 2 Prices as of January 1, 1998, for residential and business local exchange service, both
- 3 recurring and nonrecurring, for a telecommunications company with more than two hundred
- 4 thousand retail access lines in the state may not be changed unless reduced by the company. The
- 5 provisions of § 49-31-4 and §§ 49-31-21 to 49-31-12.5, inclusive, do not apply to prices for
- 6 services regulated by this section.
- 7 Section 5. That chapter 49-31 be amended by adding thereto a NEW SECTION to read as
- 8 follows:
- 9 Dialing parity, as defined in 47 U.S.C. § 153 (15) as of January 1, 1998, for purposes of
- intraLATA long distance telecommunications services, may not be implemented by order of the
- 11 commission until all providers of toll services are authorized to provide interLATA services
- which originate in this state.

- 4 - HB 1142

## 1 **BILL HISTORY**

- 2 1/20/98 First read in House and referred to State Affairs. H.J. 124
- 3 1/30/98 Scheduled for Committee hearing on this date.
- 4 1/30/98 State Affairs Deferred to another day.
- 5 2/4/98 Scheduled for Committee hearing on this date.
- 6 2/4/98 State Affairs Deferred to another day.
- 7 2/9/98 Scheduled for Committee hearing on this date.
- 8 2/11/98 Scheduled for Committee hearing on this date.
- 9 2/11/98 State Affairs Do Pass Amended, Passed, AYES 8, NAYS 5.